Section 106 review meeting, August 4, 2016

The DOT has provided a list of properties in the affected area. Some individual properties are listed as “not eligible” because they are part of the Historic District, and are already covered (for purposes of this survey).

Buildings are considered non-contributing if they do not portray the significance of the district, either because they are too new, or because they have had too much remodeling.

The DOT list was compiled by a qualified architectural historian.

The DOT would like to review our town ordinance to see where it conflicts with their guidelines in case they need to reexamine.

For the current survey, the period of significance for contributing buildings is through 1930, and for non-contributing through 1971.

Regarding Hagget’s garage – the original survey requested more information. It was determined to be non-contributing due to extensive remodeling and alterations to the buiding. If the consulting parties can present why we feel the garage is contributing, using the 4 criteria and 7 aspects she presented, they will reconsider the building’s status.

Susan has offered to compare our list to theirs to see if there are other conflicts.

The project is in a transitional phase. In the next few months there will be a “project handoff meeting” where the project will go from planning to development. This next phase is where the design details happen, and where they will determine which properties are affected. The next consulting meeting will happen after that handoff.

The Feds have yet to make a decision as to which option they will fund.

Cassie will send Ben a copy of the Purpose and Needs Statement for distribution.